



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/446,981	12/30/1999	MASATO HUMA	991504	3229
23850	7590 04/10/2002			
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW. SUITE 1000			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, THANG V	
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2653		
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		K
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/446,981	HUMA, MASATO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thang V. Tran	2653
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	pe timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	1.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		oproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).
a) \boxtimes All b) \square Some * c) \square None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Appli	cation No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S_Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/446,981 Page 2

Art Unit: 2653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

In claim 1:

It is unclear what applicant intends to state by the statement "data relevant to ... the first

data is recorded", lines 2-5. Did applicant intend to state that -- data relevant to the first data

are recorded on the other signal recording layer at a recording density higher than that at which

the first data are recorded --?

In claims 14 and 15:

The statement -- are output from the second data output device and -- should be inserted

after "which", line 15; and change the term "the multiplexing device", line 15, to -- a

multiplexing device --. Otherwise, it is unclear what data is the data recited in line 15, the term

"the multiplexing device", line 15, lacks antecedent basis, and it is also unclear to where the

data output from a second data output device goes.

The word "hat" in clam 14, line 16, should be -- that --.

Claims 2-10 fall with their base claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/446,981

Art Unit: 2653

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishizawa (US 5,696,754).

Nishizawa., according to Figs. 2-4B, shows a recording medium having a plurality of recording layers (layers A and B), wherein a first data (software) are recorded on one of the recording layers at first recording density (data or software recorded on layer B) and data relevant to the first data (same software) are recorded on the other recording layer (layer A) at a second recording density higher than the first recording density (see Fig. 2 and also column 3, lines 37-53), as recited in claim 1. For limitations in claim 2, see Fig. 4A or 4B. For limitations in claim 3, 4 and 8, see Fig. 2 and also column 3, lines 37-53. Note: since data are recorded in the recording layer B at density higher than that at the layer B, the data recorded on the layer B must have higher in quality as compared to the data recorded on layer A when data are played back.

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shikunami et al (US 6,038,208).

Application/Control Number: 09/446,981

Art Unit: 2653

Shikunami et al., according to Figs. 9-12, shows a recording medium having a plurality of recording layers (layers 220, 260), wherein a first data (information pit) are recorded on one of the recording layers at first recording density (information recorded on layer 260) and data relevant to the first data (information having same contents of first information) are recorded on the other recording layer (layer 220) at a second recording density higher than the first recording density, as recited in claim 1. For limitations in claim 2, see Fig. 9 or 10. For limitations in claim 3, 4 and 8, see Fig. 9 or 10. It is also noted that since data are recorded in the recording layer 220 at density higher than that at the layer 260, the data recorded on the layer 220 must have higher in quality as compared to the data recorded on layer 260 when data are played back. For limitations in claims 5-7, 9 and 10, see column 6, lines 29-50, column 7, lines 5-28, column 8, lines 40-54, column 11, lines 54-63.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 11-13 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 14 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 11-15 are allowed over the prior art of record because the prior art of record, considered in combination or individually, fails to suggest or fair teach a recorder for recording data on a recording medium including a combination of all features as particularly recited in each of claims 11-15.

Cited References

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references relate to an optical recording medium having a plurality of

Application/Control Number: 09/446,981

Art Unit: 2653

recording layer for recording data thereon at high and low recording density and/or an apparatus for recording data on a recording medium at high and low recording density.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thang V. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1551. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday, from 7:30AM to 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (703) 305-6137. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Thang V. Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2653